

EDP

Speed Post/Registered Post



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA  
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL CONTROLLER OF ACCOUNTS (FYS)

10-A, S.K. BOSE ROAD, KOLKATA: 700001

प्रधान लेखा नियंत्रक (आयुध निर्माणियाँ)

आयुध भवन, 10-ए, शाहिद खुदीसम बोस Rd, कोलकाता, पश्चिम बंगाल 700001

E-mail ID : cda-cal@nic.in Phone No: (033) 2248-5077 to 5080 Extn-654/655/656

Website : www.pcafys.nic.in FAX No. : (033) 2248-0991

No. Pay/ Tech-I/LTC-III

Date: - 21/10/2019

To,

All Controllers of Finance and Accounts (Fys)

Subject : Clarification for grant of Leave Encashment in cases where LTC claim of an Official is disallowed for travelling in a private vehicle during LTC.

\*\*\*\*\*

A copy of CGDA, Delhi Cantt. letter No. CGDA/Navy/Coast Guard/07/Gen Corr. /2019 dated 19/08/2019 along with a copy of PCDA (R&D) New Delhi letter No. Pay Tech/R&D/1421/LTC dated 01/07/2019 on the above subject is forwarded herewith for information and guidance of all concerned.

The same may please be circulated to all Branch Accounts Offices under your jurisdiction.

Encl. : As above.

*sdfax*  
(Dr.D L Meena, IDAS)  
Dy. Controller of Accounts (Fys)

✓ Copy to :

The Officer I/C -----  
Web Development Cell,  
(MO. Local)

for uploading the contents in our official website, please.

*H. G. Paul*  
Accounts Officer (Fys)

626  
ANN-14477A

AKR

2



रक्षा लेखा महानियंत्रक, उलान बटार रोड, पालम, दिल्ली कैंट-110010  
Controller General Of Defence Accounts  
Ulan Batar Road, Palam, Delhi Cantt-110010  
Phone: 011-25665821, Fax: 011-25674854

154

No.CGDA/Navy/Coast Guard/07/Gen.Corr./2019

Date: 19/08/2019

To

1. The PCDA (Navy)  
No. 1, Cooperage Road  
Mumbai-400039
2. The CDA (Navy/Coast Guard)  
West Block-V, R.K.Puram, Sector-1  
New Delhi-110066
3. The CDA (CSD)  
"Adelfi" 119, M.K.Road, Mumbai-400 020
4. The CDA (IDS)  
Room No.130, Kashmir House,  
New Delhi-110011
5. The PCA (Fys),  
10-A,S.K.Bose Road,Kolkata-700001

PH  
SE  
30/8/19

Subject: Clarification for grant of Leave Encashment in cases where LTC claim of an Official is disallowed for travelling in a private vehicle during LTC.

\*\*\*\*\*

A Copy of Letter No.AT/DRDO/Allowances dated 30/07/2019 alongwith D.O. letter No. Pay Tech/R&D/1421/LTC dated 01.07.2019 on the above subject is forwarded herewith for your further necessary action please.

Encl: As Above

(Tripurari Sharma)  
AO (Navy/Coast Guard)



शुभलोक चतुर्वेदी, भा.र.ले.से.  
प्रधान नियंत्रक  
Alok Chaturvedi, IDAS  
PCDA



भारत सरकार, रक्षा मंत्रालय  
रक्षा लेखा प्रधान नियंत्रक (अनुसंधान एवं वि  
पश्चिमी खण्ड-5, सैक्टर-1  
आर.के. पुरम, नई दिल्ली - 110066  
Govt. of India, Ministry of Defence  
Principal Controller of Defence Account (R&D)  
West Block -V, Sector-1,  
R.K. Puram, New Delhi - 110066

No. Pay Tech/R & D/1421/LTC

Dated: July 1, 2019

Dear Anuradha,

I am writing this in connection with admissibility of 10 days leave encashment in case LTC claim of an official is disallowed for travelling in a private vehicle during LTC.

2. The gist of the case is as follows;

Sh. Alok Chandra Singh, Scientist 'G' while serving at RCMA Kanpur availed Home Town LTC to visit his home town Lucknow before retirement. He was also paid 10 days leave encashment of RS. 67,125/-. When the official submitted his LTC claim, it was disallowed by his PAO, AO (R&D) Kanpur, for having travelled by private vehicle. Simultaneously, he was also advised to refund Rs. 67,125/- drawn by him as leave encashment. The aggrieved retired official refunded the amount but has represented to this office vide his application dated 20.8.2018 against the recovery action advised by AO (R&D) Kanpur. When the case was submitted, my predecessor expressed apprehension about disallowance of claim and accordingly the case was referred to HQ Office for clarification. HQ Office vide their No. AT/IV/4462/LTC Claim/III Dated 16.4.2019 advised this office to seek opinion of other regional Controllers and forward the issue back to them for issuing necessary clarification. (Copy enclosed)

3. As per CCS (LTC) Rules 1988, reimbursement of fare is made by pay accounts officer for the journey performed by entitled class of accommodation. Although fare reimbursement can be disallowed for not having performed journey in entitled class, it does not dilute individuals right for leave encashment if the official provides proof of actually having visited the declared destination. I am, therefore, of the considered opinion that the official is entitled for leave encashment of 10 days since there is no doubt on his visiting his home town. As

Contd..2/p